PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PHDE030290WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/IB2004/051428	No. International filing date (day/month/year) 09 August 2004 (09.08.2004) Priority date (day/month/year) 21 August 2003 (21.08.2003)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS GMBH				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any refere to the international preliminary r		the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference er I) instead.		
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinapplicability	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	national application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international application		
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).				
			Date of issuance of this report 21 February 2006 (21.02.2006)		
The International Bureau of WIPO			Authorized officer		
34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland			ldhir Britel		
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35			Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 60		

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Fror	n the ERNA	TIONAL SE	ARCHING AUTH	ORITY		REC'D	0 2 NOV 2004	
To	:						DOT	
						WIPC	PCT	
		see form	PCT/ISA/220		WR	ITTEN	NOPINION OF T	HE
					INTERNATI	ONAL	SEARCHING A	UTHORITY
						(PCT	Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
					Date of welling			
					Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see forn	n PCT/ISA/210 (second s	heet)
App	licant's	s or agent's file	e reference		 			——————————————————————————————————————
see	form	PCT/ISA/2	220		FOR FURTHE See paragraph 2 b	R ACT	ION	
Inte	rnation	al application	No.	International filing date (1			
		004/051428		09.08.2004	Jay/IIIOIIIIIIIIIII		ority date <i>(day/month/yea</i> .08.2003	(r)
Inter	nation	al Patent Clas	ssification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC			
G0	6 T 11/	00, G06T7	000		-			
	licant							
PHI	ILIPS	INTELLEC	TUAL PROPE	RTY & STANDARDS	GMBH			
1.	This	s opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the follo	owing items:			
	⊠ 6	Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion				
ĺ		Box No. II	Priority					
		Box No. III	Non-establishn	nent of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inven	itive ste	p and industrial applic	ability
		Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	finvention				
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					dustrial		
		Box No. VI	Certain docume		eappoining addition	atemen	L	
		Box No. VII	Certain defects	In the international appl	ication			
		Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internations	al application			
2.		THER ACTI						
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the international Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
	For fu	urther option	s, see Form PC	T/ISA/220.				
3.								
				·				
Name	and m	nailing address	s of the ISA:		Authorized Officer			
	<u>M</u>	European P	atent Office					Sectifiches Palantes.
_ •	ارو	D-80298 ML	unich	EC	Werling, A			
		Fax: +49 89	2399 - 0 Tx: 52365 2399 - 4465	po epmu a	Telephone No. +49 8	9 2399-6	8008	

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-6008



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/051428

~						
_	Bo	x N	lo. I Basis of the opinion			
1	 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 					
			his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2	 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: 					
	a. type of material:					
	Į		a sequence listing			
	[table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. format of material:					
	E		in written format			
]	in computer readable form			
	c. tii	me	of filing/furnishing:			
	٥		contained in the international application as filed.			
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
		_	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		cop	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional bropriate, were furnished.			
1.	Addi	Additional comments:				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/051428

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

4-6

No: Claims

1-3,7-10

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

4-6

No: Claims

1-3,7-10

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: HOFFMANN K R ET AL: "BIPLANE X-RAY ANGIOGRAMS, INTRAVASCULAR ULTRASOUND, AND 3D VISUALIZATION OF CORONARY VESSELS" INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CARDIAC IMAGING, DORDRECHT, NL, vol. 15, no. 6, December 1999 (1999-12), pages 495-512, XP000922535 ISSN: 0167-9899

- 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1 and 10
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

A device for generating a three-dimensional model of a spatial structure (G) comprising:

an imaging unit for generating two-dimensional projection images of the structure from various directions;

a display unit that is coupled to the imaging unit for displaying one of the projection images as a reference image, in which connection the display unit comprises input means in order to make possible the interactive specification of at least one image point of the structure as a reference point;

a data processing device that is coupled to the imaging unit and the display JO unit and is designed to reconstruct the space point, belonging to a reference point, of a structure from further projection images produced from other directions using the image-processing unit.

In conclusion, claim 1 is not novel over D1.

The same arguments also apply by analogy to independent claim 10 which is also not novel.

3 Dependent claims.

Claim 2 is also not novel over **D1** since the angiograms of **D1** are generated using X-ray imaging.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IB2004/051428

Claim 3 claims over claim 1 the additional feature of restricting the search for corresponding points in the further projection images to the epipolar line defined by the reference point, thus reducing the two-dimensional correspondence problem to a one-dimensional one. However, this technique is well known in the art of stereo vision and is also suggested by **D1**, see p. 498, left col., last par. In conclusion, this feature does not render claim 3 novel over the prior art.

Claim 7 is not novel because **D1** is also dealing with vascular structures which are defined by a plurality of reference points.

Likewise, claim 8 is not novel over **D1** because the additional feature of estimating the vessel width from the reconstructed model is also anticipated by **D1** (see p. 498, right col., "Estimation of the vessel lumen").

Claim 9 claims over claim 1 the additional feature of using projection images that originate from the same phase of a cyclic motion of the object (i.e. ECG-gated images). This feature is already known from **D1**, see p. 503, right. col., and claim 9 is therefore not novel over **D1**.

Furthermore, the technique of ECG-gating is well known in the art of medical image processing and its inclusion into the method of **D1** would be a straightforward step to the skilled person without requiring any inventive skill.

In the examiner's current understanding, it appears that no objections under Article 33(1-3) PCT have to be raised against claims 4, 5 and 6 at presently on file.